MIDDLE SCHOOL MOCK TRIAL SCORE SHEET

Each side has 11 roles, and you will award each role below with a score from 1 to 10 (no fractional points or ranges allowed for any one score.) Therefore, each side will be awarded a total possible score ranging from 11 to 110. The scoring guidelines and rubric are on the back.

Team _____ (prosecution) vs. Team ____ (defense) Round (circle one): 1 2 3 Semifinals or Finals PROSECUTION ROSTER **DEFENSE ROSTER POSITION** SCORE **POSITION** SCORE **Opening Statement Opening Statement** Attorney Name: Attorney Name: Prosecution Witness #1: Character: **Cross Examination of Prosecution Witness #1** Student's Name: Character Name: **Direct Examination of Prosecution Witness #1** Attorney Name: Attorney Name: Prosecution Witness #2: Character: **Cross Examination of Prosecution Witness #2** Student's Name: Character Name: **Direct Examination of Prosecution Witness #2** Attorney Name: Attorney Name: Prosecution Witness #3: Character: **Cross Examination of Prosecution Witness #3** Student's Name: Character Name: **Direct Examination of Prosecution Witness #3** Attorney Name: Attorney Name: **Cross Examination of Defense Witness #1** Defense Witness #1: Character: Student's Name: Character Name: **Direct Examination of Defense Witness #1** Attorney Name: Attorney Name: Defense Witness #2: Character: Cross Examination of Defense Witness #2 Character Name: Student's Name: **Direct Examination of Defense Witness #2** Attorney Name: Attorney Name: **Cross Examination of Defense Witness #3** Defense Witness #3: Character: Character Name: Student's Name: **Direct Examination of Defense Witness #3** Attorney Name: Attorney Name: **Closing Argument Closing Argument** Attorney Name: Attorney Name: Bailiff: Timekeeper: Timekeeper: **TOTAL PROSECUTION SCORE TOTAL DEFENSE SCORE** Best Prosecution Attorney: ______ Best Defense Attorney: _____

Best Prosecution Witness: ______ Best Defense Witness: _____

Scoring Guidelines

Opening Statement: Presents general outline of the party's case without being argumentative.

<u>Direct Examination</u>: Attorney uses properly phrased questions; uses proper courtroom procedure; demonstrates understanding of crucial facts, issues, and law.

<u>Cross Examination</u>: Attorney is effective on cross; properly impeached witness, if appropriate; asks appropriate questions.

<u>Witness Performance</u>: Witness is credible, demonstrates understanding of facts, sounds spontaneous, not memorized; poised and follows courtroom decorum.

<u>Closing Argument</u>: Convincingly addresses important issues that are relevant to the facts in the law that were brought out during the trial.

Scoring Rubric

For each role, award a number from 1 to 10. Do not award fractional points or a range.

1-2 Not Effective

Unsure, illogical, uninformed, speaks incoherently. Reads directly from notes.

3-4 Fair

Minimally informed and prepared. Communication lacks clarity and conviction. Depends on notes.

5-6 Good

Good, solid performance. Grasps major aspects of case, but does not convey mastery of it. Basic understanding of evidence. Occasionally uses notes.

7-8 Excellent

Fluent, persuasive, clear, & understandable. Organizes materials and thoughts well. Better than average handling of evidence. Is not note-dependent.

9-10 Outstanding

Superior grasp of case. Thinks well on feet, is logical, and keeps poised under duress. Demonstrates the unique ability to utilize all resources in order to emphasize vital points of trial. Effective use of time. Effective use of evidentiary materials; correct objections. Is not note-dependent.